

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested. Claims 23-28, 30-34, and 36, and 38-45 are pending, Claim 37 having been canceled by way of the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, all of the claims except for Claim 37 have been allowed. Claim 37 was rejected on 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph grounds.

In order to efficiently prosecute this application, Applicants cancel herewith Claim 37, the only rejected claim in this application. Therefore, it is believed that all issues have been resolved. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute Claim 37 in one or more continuation type applications.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment and in light of the foregoing comments, it is respectfully submitted that the invention defined by Claims 23-28, 30-34, 36, and 37-45, is definite and patentably distinguishing over the prior art. The present application is therefore believed to be in condition for formal allowance and an early and favorable reconsideration of this application is therefore requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/03)
BDL/rac

I:\ATTY\BDL\9847\98470062US-AM.DOC